



11/18

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

PATENT APPLICATION

Inventor: David L. Multer

)

SC/Serial No.: 09/491,694

)

Art Unit: 2181

AUG 25 2003

Filed: Jan. 26, 2000

)

Examiner: King, Technology Center 2100

Title: DATA TRANSFER AND
SYNCHRONIZATION SYSTEM

)

Customer No.: 28554

RECEIVED


DECLARATION OF RICHARD M. ONYON IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.48

I, RICHARD M. ONYON, declare that:

1. The inventive entity was set forth in error in the above-identified patent application on the initial Declaration For Patent Application by David L. Multer filed on May 30, 2000 in the above-identified application, and the inventive entity was set forth in error in the above-identified patent application on the subsequent Declaration For Patent Application by David L. Multer, Robert E. Garner, Leighton A. Ridgard, Liam J. Stannard and Donald W. Cash filed on October 18, 2000 in the above-identified application (together, the "Erroneous Declarations").
2. I was not named as an inventor in either of the Erroneous Declarations.
3. I am inventor on the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application.
4. The error in not naming me on the Erroneous Declarations occurred without deceptive intent on my part.

- 1 -

Attorney Docket No.: FUSN1-01002US0
fusn1/1002/1002.onyon declaration



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

PATENT APPLICATION

Inventor: David L. Multer

SC/Serial No.: 09/491,694

Art Unit: 2181

RECEIVED

Filed: Jan. 26, 2000

Examiner: King, J. AUG 25 2003

Title: DATA TRANSFER AND
SYNCHRONIZATION SYSTEM

Customer No.: 28554

Technology Center 2100

CONSENT OF ASSIGNEE TO CORRECTION
OF INVENTORSHIP PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.48

The below-identified Assignee hereby consents to correction of the named inventors in the above-identified patent application as set forth in the accompanying Petition to Correct Inventorship which adds Richard M. Onyon as an inventor.

The undersigned certifies that Assignee is the owner of a right, title and interest in the above-identified patent application by virtue of assignments from all previously listed inventors to Assignee. A true copy of the assignments are attached hereto.

The assignments have been reviewed and to the best of the undersigned's knowledge and belief, title to the above-identified patent application is in the Assignee. The undersigned is empowered to act on and sign this certification on behalf of the Assignee.

Assignee FusionOne, Inc.

Assignee Type: Corporation

Signor's Name: Richard M. Onyon

Signor's Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Signature: R. Onyon

Date: 8/4/03

- 1 -

Attorney Docket No.: FUSN1-01002US0
fusn1/1002/1002.consent

Match and Return

5. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Date: 8/4/03

By: 
Richard M. Onyon



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

Inventor: David L. Multer

SC/Serial No.: 09/491,694

Filed: Jan. 26, 2000

Title: DATA TRANSFER AND
SYNCHRONIZATION SYSTEM

PATENT APPLICATION

RECEIVED

AUG 25 2003

Technology Center 2100

Customer No.: 28554

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if one name is listed below), first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

DATA TRANSFER AND SYNCHRONIZATION SYSTEM

the specification of which (check applicable ones):

is filed herewith;

was filed with the above-identified "Filed" date and "Application No."

was amended on (or amended through) _____.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of the application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.


I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

(1) Full name of sole
or first inventor: David L. Multer

(1) Residence: 32 Eastridge Drive, Santa Cruz, California 95060

(1) Post Office Address: Same as above

(1) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(1) Inventor's signature: 

(1) Date: Aug 2, 2003

(2) Full name of second joint inventor: Robert E. Garner

(2) Residence: 309 Hidden Wood Court, Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043

(2) Post Office Address: Same as above

(2) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(2) Inventor's signature: _____

(2) Date: _____

(3) Full name of third joint inventor: Leighton A. Ridgard

(3) Residence: 4152 Flakes Mill Manor Road, Ellenwood, Georgia 30294

(3) Post Office Address: Same as above

(3) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(3) Inventor's signature: _____

(3) Date: _____

(1) Full name of sole
or first inventor: David L. Multer

(1) Residence: 32 Eastridge Drive, Santa Cruz, California 95060

(1) Post Office Address: Same as above

(1) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(1) Inventor's signature: _____

(1) Date: _____

(2) Full name of second
joint inventor: Robert E. Garner

(2) Residence: 309 Hidden Wood Court, Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043

(2) Post Office Address: Same as above

(2) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(2) Inventor's signature: Robert

(2) Date: 8/1/2003

(3) Full name of third
joint inventor: Leighton A. Ridgard

(3) Residence: 4152 Flakes Mill Manor Road, Ellenwood, Georgia 30294

(3) Post Office Address: Same as above

(3) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(3) Inventor's signature: _____

(3) Date: _____

(1) Full name of sole
or first inventor: David L. Multer

(1) Residence: 32 Eastridge Drive, Santa Cruz, California 95060

(1) Post Office Address: Same as above

(1) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(1) Inventor's signature: _____

(1) Date: _____

(2) Full name of second
joint inventor: Robert E. Garner

(2) Residence: 309 Hidden Wood Court, Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043

(2) Post Office Address: Same as above

(2) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(2) Inventor's signature: _____

(2) Date: _____

(3) Full name of third
joint inventor: Leighton A. Ridgard

(3) Residence: 4152 Flakes Mill Manor Road, Ellenwood, Georgia 30294

(3) Post Office Address: Same as above

(3) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(3) Inventor's signature: Leighton A. Ridgard


(3) Date: AUGUST 1ST 2003

(4) Full name of fourth joint inventor: Liam J. Stannard

(4) Residence: 1584 Prospect Road, Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043

(4) Post Office Address: Same as above

(4) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(4) Inventor's signature: 

(4) Date: 8/1/03

(5) Full name of fifth joint inventor: Donald W. Cash

(5) Residence: 1748 Vanderlyn Drive, Dunwoody, Georgia 30338

(5) Post Office Address: Same as above

(5) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(5) Inventor's signature: _____

(5) Date: _____

(6) Full name of sixth joint inventor: Richard M. Onyon

(6) Residence: 875 Chapman Street, San Jose, California 95126

(6) Post Office Address: Same as above

(6) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(6) Inventor's signature: _____

(6) Date: _____

(4) Full name of fourth
joint inventor: Liam J. Stannard

(4) Residence: 1584 Prospect Road, Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043

(4) Post Office Address: Same as above

(4) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(4) Inventor's signature: _____

(4) Date: _____

(5) Full name of fifth
joint inventor: Donald W. Cash

(5) Residence: 1748 Vanderlyn Drive, Dunwoody, Georgia 30338

(5) Post Office Address: Same as above

(5) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(5) Inventor's signature: Donald W. Cash

(5) Date: 8/4/03

(6) Full name of sixth
joint inventor: Richard M. Onyon

(6) Residence: 875 Chapman Street, San Jose, California 95126

(6) Post Office Address: Same as above

(6) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(6) Inventor's signature: _____

(6) Date: _____

(4) Full name of fourth
joint inventor: Liam J. Stannard

(4) Residence: 1584 Prospect Road, Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043

(4) Post Office Address: Same as above

(4) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(4) Inventor's signature: _____

(4) Date: _____

(5) Full name of fifth
joint inventor: Donald W. Cash

(5) Residence: 1748 Vanderlyn Drive, Dunwoody, Georgia 30338

(5) Post Office Address: Same as above

(5) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(5) Inventor's signature: _____

(5) Date: _____

(6) Full name of sixth
joint inventor: Richard M. Onyon

(6) Residence: 875 Chapman Street, San Jose, California 95126

(6) Post Office Address: Same as above

(6) Citizenship: U.S.A.

(6) Inventor's signature: TCG

(6) Date: 8/14/63

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56

**SECTION 1.56. DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION
MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY**

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98.* However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

(1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office; or

(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) Each inventor named in the application;

(2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

* §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98 relate to the timing and manner in which information is to be submitted to the Office.